

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,748	06/18/2001	Kunio Shiota	04853.0074	8762
22852	7590 08/18/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			LIN, JERRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/881,748	SHIOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry Lin	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. rely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ∠ Claim(s) 6,8,9 and 19-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) 6,8,9 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' arguments, filed June 6, 2006, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive. However in light of the amendments, the following rejections are newly applied as necessitated by amendment. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Status of the Claims

Claims 6, 8, 9, and 19-23 are under examination.

Claims 1-5, 7, and 10-18 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st Paragraph

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 6, 8, 9, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention.

Instant claims 8, 20, 21, and 22 have all been amended to include differentiated stem cells. It is noted that is limitation was added in order to limit the claims to stems cells and not to include other types of cells. However, by definition a stem cell is an

Art Unit: 1631

undifferentiated cell with certain functional capabilities (Potten et al., Development (1990) Volume 110, pages 1001-1020, especially page 1002, paragraph bridging right and left column). The specification does not define stem cells as anything different from what is in the prior art. Thus, it is unclear how one of ordinary skill in the art is to obtain the methylation pattern of a differentiated stem cell, since differentiated stem cells do not exist by definition.

The specification does discuss differentiated stem cells on page 12, page 16, Figure 4 and Figure 5. However, given that the prior art demonstrates that the commonly accepted meaning of stem cell is an undifferentiated cell, it is unclear what is being labeled as a differentiated stem cell. Furthermore, the specification does not teach how to create what is labeled as a differentiated stem cell, so that one of skill in the art may recreate the data in Figure 4 and 5.

Although the level of skill in the molecular biology is high, preparing a new cell line does require a great deal of experimentation. This difficulty is further compounded, since a differentiated stem cell does not exist by definition. The specification does not provide any guidance or working examples in creating a differentiated stem cell. Without further guidance from the specification or additional method steps, one of skill in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention without undue experimentation. The instant claims have been amended to include subject that does not exist and cannot be practiced by one of skill in the art.

Status of the Prior Art Rejections

4. In light of the amendments, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 has been withdrawn. However, if the amendments are removed, these withdrawn rejections will be reapplied.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,748 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00am-6:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

Application/Control Number: 09/881,748

Art Unit: 1631

,748 Page 6

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It

also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file

folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center at (800) 786-9199.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

JL